

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CRIMINAL NO.  
03-10234-MLW

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

JOHN GILLIES,  
BENJAMIN SILVER and  
JOSHUA GOLDSMITH

**INTERIM STATUS REPORT**

August 5, 2004

DEIN, M.J.

An Interim Status Conference was held before this court on Thursday, August 5, 2004, pursuant to the provisions of Local Rule 116.5(A). Based on that conference, this court enters the following report and orders, to wit:

1. The defendants are continuing to review the material produced by the government. A motion for the issuance of a pre-trial subpoena is presently pending before the District Judge.
2. The date for filing dispositive motions shall be set at the next status conference.
3. The defendants request discovery concerning expert witnesses under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E). The time by which the government shall provide such information shall be set at the next status conference.
4. In this court's view, this is not a case involving unusual or complex issues for which an early joint conference of the district judge and the magistrate judge with counsel of record would be useful.

5. In this court's view, this is not a case involving features which would warrant special attention or modification of the standard schedule, except as provided herein.
6. It is too early to determine whether a trial will be necessary.
7. This court finds and concludes, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8) and Section 6(b)(8) of the Plan for Prompt Disposition of Criminal Cases in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (Statement of Time Limits Adopted by the Court and Procedures for Implementing Them, Effective July 1, 1980), that the interests of justice, i.e., review of the case, review of evidence, and consideration of alternatives concerning how best to proceed with this matter, outweighs the best interests of the public and the defendant for a trial within seventy days of the return of an indictment.

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8) and Section 6(b)(8) of the Plan for Prompt Disposition of Criminal Cases in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (Statement of Time Limits Adopted by the Court and Procedures for Implementing Them, Effective July 1, 1980), the Clerk of this Court enter excludable time for the period of August 6, 2004 through September 8, 2004, the period between the Interim Status Conference and the Final Status Conference.<sup>1</sup>

8. Based upon the prior orders of the court dated October 3, 2003, November 6, 2003, December 17, 2003, January 23, 2004, February 11, 2004, March 24, 2004, May 14, 2004, June 14, 2004, July 1, 2004, the agreement of the parties as detailed in the joint Initial Status Conference Report dated November 6, 2003, and the order entered herewith, at the time of the next Status Conference on September 8, 2004, there will be zero (0) days of non-excludable time under the Speedy Trial Act, and

---

<sup>1</sup> The parties are hereby advised that under the provisions of Rule 2(b) of the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, any party may move for reconsideration by a district judge of the determination(s) and order(s) set forth herein within ten (10) days after receipt of a copy of this order, unless a different time is prescribed by this court or the district judge. The party seeking reconsideration shall file with the Clerk of this Court, and serve upon all parties, a written notice of the motion which shall specifically designate the order or part thereof to be reconsidered and the basis for the objection thereto. The district judge, upon timely motion, shall reconsider the magistrate's order and set aside any portion thereof found to be clearly erroneous in fact or contrary to law. The parties are further advised that the United States Court of Appeals for this Circuit has indicated that failure to comply with this rule shall preclude further appellate review. See Keating v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 848 F.2d 271 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. March 31, 1988); United States v. Emiliano Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1986); Park Motor Mart, Inc. v. Ford Motor Co., 616 F.2d 603 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1980); United States v. Vega, 678 F.2d 376, 378-379 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1982); Scott v. Schweiker, 702 F.2d 13, 14 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1983); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 106 S. Ct. 466 (1985).

seventy (70) days will remain under the Speedy Trial Act in which this case must be tried.

9. **A Final Status Conference has been scheduled for September 8, 2004 at 11:45 a.m. Counsel for the respective parties shall file a Joint Memorandum addressing the matters set forth in LR 116.5(C)(1) through (9) before the close of business no less than THREE business days prior to that Status Conference. In addition, the parties shall include in the Joint Memorandum not only the periods of excludable time that are applicable, but also the amount of time remaining under the Speedy Trial Act before trial must commence, as well as the total amount of time which has been excluded.**

/ Judith Gail Dein

JUDITH GAIL DEIN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE